

Evolution, Mask of Science

Introduction

The general theory of evolution has become a widely accepted explanation for the origin and diversification of life. Although traditional tools of science are utilized in the attempt to validate its premises, the entire framework of Darwinism is founded on metaphysical presuppositions. Despite the fact that it is commonly portrayed to be the foundation of biological science, the mechanisms proposed to have resulted in the transmutation of millions of species stand in sharp contradiction to known principles of experimental biology.

The theory of evolution is an elaborate collection of proposals founded on man's speculation as to what happened over millions of years. Although many of the conclusions that Charles Darwin published in 1859 seemed scientifically logical at the time, current knowledge of molecular biology leads to the conclusion that nearly every proposed mechanism of gradualistic evolution is impossible. The framework that Darwin constructed to support the precepts of evolution were founded on many assumptions which have since been invalidated. Nevertheless, biologists continue to defend the theory of evolution despite the fact that its fundamental tenets cannot be supported by science.

The evolutionary hypothesis requires the undirected appearance of literally billions of purposeful highly complex genetic code sequences to have occurred over time. Although this process is believed to have been the basis for the origin and diversification of all life, not a single example of such evolution has been observed. Sophisticated biologic systems including hundreds of millions of enzymatic reactions, oxygen transport systems, cellular metabolism, protein synthesis, complex pathways of nerve transmission and integration, hormonal feedback systems, blood coagulation cascades, sophisticated instinctive behaviors, and a host of other complexities are all believed to have evolved into existence by random mistakes in reproduction.

A fundamental doctrine of Darwinism is that all life is the result of natural processes and that *no intelligent design* exists. This doctrine is assumed in the name of science despite the fact that intelligence is the only proven force in the universe capable of creating complexity.

The theory of evolution, as it is propagated today, is a religious philosophy that wears the mask of science. The fundamental purpose of promoting evolution is the attempt to disprove intelligent creation and advance a worldview. In attempting to do so, there has been a retreat from legitimate methods of scientific investigation in attempting to validate pre-drawn conclusions.

In the evolution controversy, two fundamental questions can be asked:

1. Does science demonstrate that evolution *did* occur?
2. Does science demonstrate that evolution *could* occur?

In answer to these questions: There is no scientific evidence that major evolutionary transmutations have occurred, or that they could occur.

In writing this book, it is not my purpose to scientifically prove any aspect of creation such as recorded in the book of Genesis. Such a conclusion relies on a belief system in which unseen and incomprehensible forces must be accepted. However, the conclusion of some form of intelligent design is a logical deduction from observation of nature.

Most people who accept evolution have formulated their opinions based on their level of confidence in others. They believe that the earth is billions of years old because they accept what has been declared by those with expertise in geophysics. They assume that genetic studies support evolutionary transmutation from ape to man primarily because they have confidence in

the integrity and expertise of those with scientific credentials. They believe that transitional fossils exist only because "experts" have determined such to be transitional. They cannot of themselves validate or falsify any of these claims that they accept.

My primary purpose in this writing is to provide information to those who may not have expertise in a scientific field. To those who have an unrelenting commitment to Darwinism, I have little hope in convincing you. Your course is set, and you are hiding behind the façade of science to justify your worldview. My purpose is to present information to those who are interested in the acquisition of truth. There is no reason that the educated majority should feel obligated to accept the popular dogmas of secularism defended by baseless, authoritarian statements such as "evolution is a fact". Any person of average intelligence, upon studying the facts, can understand the utter falsity of the evolutionary treatise.

Many believe that the rejection of evolution would require a belief that thousands of scientists are either ignorant or are in a conspiracy to deceive the public. In the history of science, many false theories have been embraced by well-intended, intelligent people. Today, thousands of sincere, educated scientists are propagating a false theory. This is not deliberate, but is the result of a misunderstanding of the scientific method, which has created a false paradigm.

For many who have limited understanding of science, their religious beliefs are defended by the contention that evolution is "just a theory." Evolution is not a legitimate scientific theory. Evolution contradicts science. Ultimately, a belief in evolution requires one to reject scientific facts in favor of philosophical beliefs.

Questions as to man's origins carry enormous implications. Those who doubt popularized beliefs are left in the frustrating position of defending their religious beliefs against dogmas that are propagated by those who claim expertise in scientific fields.

Without extensive knowledge in such diverse fields as molecular biology, biochemistry, geology, radiometric dating of the earth's strata, and many other disciplines, most people are left to either accept what they are told by "scientists" or adhere to their own worldview while being constantly told that "science" contradicts their deep held beliefs.

In this writing, I will demonstrate that the primary evidence employed in defending evolution is not scientific evidence, but constitutes philosophical and religious persuasions which are presented in such a way as to masquerade as science. This is evident by studying the specific claims that are set forth to defend evolution and recognizing the philosophical presuppositions underlying those claims.

I will further demonstrate that regardless of how superficially logical the evolutionary hypothesis might seem, several serious scientific fallacies form the foundation of Darwinism. The demonstration of these flaws can only lead to the conclusion that evolution is not the explanation of life on earth. I will present to the reader information so that he or she can understand what constitutes objective science and what is man's speculation and imagination.

Evolution is widely proclaimed to be irrefutable. In view of these authoritative declarations, the lack of corroborative scientific evidence might come as a shock to those who have not seriously evaluated the facts.

It is my hope that the reader will come to a new realization. There is no need to rely on someone with a degree in a scientific field to instruct you of the history of life on earth. My challenge to you is to carefully study the facts and judge for yourself.